# CIV USN COMNAVAIRSYSCOM PAX (USA)

From:	(b) (6) CIV USN NAVAIRWARCENACDIV MD (USA)
Sent:	Friday, June 05, 2020 12:05
То:	(b) (6) CIV USN NAWCAD (USA); (b) (6) (USA); (b) (6) CIV USN PEOTACAIR PAX MD (USA); (b) (6) CIV USN (USA)
Cc:	<ul> <li>(b) (6) CIV USN PEOTACAIR PAX MD (USA); (b) (6) USN COMNAVAIRSYSCOM PAX (USA); (b) (6) CIV USN COMNAVAIRSYSCOM PAX (USA); (b) (6) CIV USN NAS PAX MD (USA); (b) (6) CIV USN NAS PAX MD (USA); (b) (6) CIV USN NAS PAX MD (USA); (b) (6) CIV USN NAS PAX MD (USA); (c) (c) CIV USN COMNAVAIRSYSCOM PAX (US); (b) (6) CIV USN COMNAVAIRSYSCOM PAX (US); (b) (6) CIV USN NAVAIRWARCENACDIV MD (USA)</li> </ul>
Subject:	RE: DON-NAVY-2020-006828 and DON-NAVY-007330
Attachments:	(b) (5)

(b) (6)

I thought the fleet fell under NAVAIR, I apologize for the misunderstanding.

(b) (5)	

Thank you!

V/R

(b) (6) NAWCAD Command Operations Group Admin & Records Management Division 47122 Liljencrantz Road Patuxent River, MD 20670 Phone: (301) (b) (6)

penalties."

From: (b) (6) CIV	USN NAWCAD (USA) (b) (6) @navy.	mil>	
Sent: Thursday, June 4, 2	2020 2:54 PM		
To:(b)(6)	CIV USN NAVAIRWARCENACDIV ME	0 (USA) (b) (6) @na	vy.mil>; <mark>(b) (6)</mark> ,
(b) (6) CTR (USA) (b) (	6 @navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN PEOTACAIR PAX MD	(USA)
<(b) (6) @na	vy.mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN (USA)	(b) (6) @navy.mil>	
	USN PEOTACAIR PAX MD (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	USN
COMNAVAIRSYSCOM PA	X (USA) <(b) (6) @navy.mil>;(b) (6)	CIV USN COMNAVAIRSYS	COM PAX (USA)

(b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN NAS PAX MD (USA	) (b) (6) @navy.mi	>; <mark>(b) (6)</mark>
CIV USN (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (	CIV USN (USA	(b) (6) @navy.mil>;	(b) (6) CTR
USN PEOTACAIR PAX MD (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CTR (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>;
(b) (6) CIV USN NAS PAX	MD (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>	
Subject: RE: DON-NAVY-2020-006828 and I	DON-NAVY-007330		

### (b) (6)

I will scan and send the other slides.

PMA-265 is the OCA of the information not the videos. If you look back through all the responses to questions pertaining to the videos we had to request them from the fleet to review them. They are videos that are not normally kept or retained as they are for training purposes only. There are no systems, files, or key word searches required as we don't ever maintain that information, that would have been done in the fleet. The only reason we were pulled into the review of the videos is because (b) (5) there was concern that they may contain classified information. The program office was asked to review for classification purposes.

Thank you,



From: (b) (6)	CIV USN	NAVAIRWARCENACDIV ME	0 (USA) <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>
Sent: Thursda	y, June 04, 2020 2:44 PM			
то: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	CIV USN NAWCAD (USA	) (b) (6) @navy.mil>;	(b) (6) CTR (US	A)
(b) (6)	@navy.mil>;(b) (6)	CIV USN PEOTA	CAIR PAX MD (USA)	
(b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN (USA) (b)	(6) @navy.mil>	
Cc (b) (6)	<b>CIV USN PEOTACAIR I</b>	PAX MD (USA) <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	USN
COMNAVAIRS	YSCOM PAX (USA) <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN COMNA	VAIRSYSCOM PAX (USA)
(b) (6)	@navy.mil>; <mark>(b) (6</mark> )	USN NAS PAX MD (U	JSA) <mark>(b) (6</mark> ) @	)navy.mil>; <mark>(b) (6)</mark>
CIV USN (USA)	(b) (6) @navy.mil>;	b) (6) CIV USN (	JSA) <mark>(b) (6)</mark> @na	avy.mil>; <mark>(b) (6</mark> )
USN PEOTACA	AIR PAX MD (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	(USA) (b) (	6 @navy.mil>;
(b) (6)	USN NAS PA	x MD (USA) <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>	
Subject: RE: D	ON-NAVY-2020-006828 and	DON-NAVY-007330		

Subject: RE: DON-NAVY-2020-006828 and DON-NAVY-007330

#### (b) (6)

If you could provide us with a clear copy of the slides, we would appreciate it.

In reference to DON-NAVY-2020-007330, since we are the owners of the videos, where were the videos stored, who keeps records of them? Wouldn't that information fall under the cognizance of NAVAIR?

I'm sorry for all the questions, but I need to make sure we cover all our bases in case they appeal. If no records were located, we will need the details of your search method you used, include systems and filing cabinets search along with the keys words used during the search.

Thank you!

V/R

# (b) (6)

NAWCAD Command Operations Group Admin & Records Management Division 47122 Liljencrantz Road

#### USN NAWCAD (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil> From (b) (6) Sent: Thursday, June 4, 2020 1:31 PM To: (b) (6) CIV USN NAVAIRWARCENACDIV MD (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN PEOTACAIR PAX MD (USA) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil> @navy.mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN PEOTACAIR PAX MD (USA) (b) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) USN Cc: USN COMNAVAIRSYSCOM PAX (USA) COMNAVAIRSYSCOM PAX (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b (6)CIV USN NAS PAX MD (USA) (b) (b) (6) (anavy.mil>; (b) (6)@navy.mil>; (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN (USA) CIV USN (USA)(b) (6) (6) USN PEOTACAIR PAX MD (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) CTR (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; CIV USN NAS PAX MD (USA) (b) (6) (b) (6) @navy.mil> Subject: RE: DON-NAVY-2020-006828 and DON-NAVY-007330

# (b) (6)

DON-NAVY-2020-007330: no information was found related to this.

	here is
no Classified information on any of the documents. (b) (5)	
	. S
We have the full document if you wish to see it. (b) (6) will be sending over the NIPR email trail that is related	ted to
this specific FOIA request. (b) (6) has already provided the emails he has, and I was not part of the program of	office
during the period related to the FOIA request resulting in the fact that I do not have any emails or information rela	ted to
this request	

Thank you,

(b) (6)		
PMA-265 (b	) (6)	
301-757-(b)	(6)	

From: (b) (6)	CIV USN I	NAVAIRWARCENACDIV MI	D (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>
Sent: Monday,	June 01, 2020 7:35 AM			
To: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	CIV USN NAWCAD (USA	) (b) (6) @navy.mil>;	(b) (6) CTR (USA)	)
(b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN PEOTA	CAIR PAX MD (USA)	
(b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN (USA) (b)	(6) @navy.mil>	
Cc: (b) (6)	<b>CIV USN PEOTACAIR F</b>	PAX MD (USA) < <mark>(b) (6</mark> )	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	USN
COMNAVAIRSY	SCOM PAX (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>;(b) (6)	CIV USN COMNAV	AIRSYSCOM PAX (USA)
(b) (6)	@navy.mil> <mark>(b) (6</mark> )	CIV USN NAS PAX MD (	USA) (b) (6) @n	avy.mil>; <mark>(b) (6)</mark>
CIV USN (USA)	(b) (6) @navy.mil>;	(b) (6) CIV USN (	USA) (b) (6) @nav	y.mil>; (b) (6) CTR
USN PEOTACAI	R PAX MD (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	(USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>;
(b) (6)	CIV USN NAS PAX	K MD (USA)(b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN
NAVAIRWARCE	NACDIV MD (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil	>	
Subject: RE: DC	ON-NAVY-2020-006828 and	DON-NAVY-007330		

Yes, we will need for a harm analysis to be conducted on the requested documents. I have provided guidance below for the unclassified documents.

For the classified documents, we will need a classification review along with a Memo on the recommendations and justification. I have included a sample Memo to assist you.

#### Since there are two separate requests, please identify which documents are responsive to which FOIA request.

DON-NAVY-2020-006828 – All communications, e-mails, letters, memos, internal communications, notes etc. regarding AFOSI investigation case #3290517356125. Although this is an AFOSI investigation, in it, it states that the Division Head, Naval Air Warfare Center - Aircraft Division, Naval Air Station Patuxent River, MD was contacted. There was analysis on three videos which they determined there was "no sensitive symbology or other items of concern."

DON-NAVY-2020-007330 - All information and records including (but not limited to) reports, correspondence, queries, documents, letters, messages regarding the history and custody of the videos described as video of two F-18 Hornets tracking an unidentified Flying Object (UFO) » in the AFOSI File number 3290517356125 (see attached file for reference). The release of this video was investigated by the AFOSI in 2018 and the report mentions the Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division as being in possession of those videos.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

#### Thank you!

A harm analysis is a review of the documents (line by line) to determine if there is any information contained within that could potentially cause harm to the government if publicly released. We will need a Subject Matter Expert (SME) to review and address each item that they believe should be withheld, specifically explaining why this information could cause harm to the government if publicly released. We will need the responsive documents returned to us, highlighting (not "blacking out" or obscuring), any information that should be withheld. For each highlighted recommendation of withholding, please provide justification for our analysis, as well as, support for appeals and litigation.

When you are examining documents, think about what information you believe should be withheld from the general public. You are essentially using your subject matter expertise to help us at the FOIA office determine whether any of the exemptions apply. As you can see, the exemptions are relatively few and they are often narrowly applied. For us to withhold, we have to be able to articulate the potential harm if the exempt information were to be released to the public.

The most common FOIA Exemptions are (b)(3), (b)(4), (b)(5), and (b)(6). If no exemption applies, we must release. Even if an exemption does apply, we have to determine whether we can redact just the portion(s) of the document that is exempt and release the remainder.

**EXEMPTION 1** - Records currently and properly classified in the interest of national security; ("SECRET", "CONFIDENTIAL", "TOP SECRET"). Documents must be properly and appropriately marked. Documents will need to undergo a classification review to ensure material is required to be protected and maintained as classified. Agency has duty to segregate and release nonexempt information, unless the segregated information would have no meaning;

**EXEMPTION 2** - Records related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of the DoD or any of the DoD Components. (This refers to conditions of employment in federal agencies, such matters as hiring and firing, work rules and discipline, compensation and benefits.);

**EXEMPTION 3** - This exemption requires protection of information that is covered by another STATUTE that specifically exempts the information and permits no discretion on the issue. Records protected by another law that specifically exempts the information from public release (list here: <u>https://www.justice.gov/oip/blog/foia-post-2009-statutes-found-qualify-under-exemption-3-foia)</u>; We most commonly used statutes are:

- STATUTE 10 USC 130 Unclassified technical data with military or space application. The term "technical data with military or space application" means any blueprints, drawings, plans, instructions, computer software and documentation, or other technical information that can be used, or be adapted for use, to design, engineer, produce, manufacture, operate, repair, overhaul, or reproduce any military or space equipment or technology concerning such equipment;
- STATUTE 41 U.S.C. § 2102(a)(1) Contract Source Selection Information. <u>Prior</u> to contract award, certain procurement related proprietary and source selection information;
- STATUTE 10 U.S.C. § 2305(g) DoD Contractor Proposals. Technical, management, or cost proposals submitted by a contractor in response to the requirement of a Department of Defense solicitation for a competitive proposal are covered under this statute except for any portions that were set forth or incorporated by reference into a contract;

**EXEMPTION 4** - The new (b)(4) standard is that the information is exempt if 1) it is commercial or financial information, 2) that was provided to the government under an assurance of privacy, and 3) it is both customarily and actually treated as private by its owner. (Exemption 4 is what the contractor is looking for when they do their harm analysis. This allows us to withhold the contractor's proprietary information if they can reasonably show it would cause them competitive harm if released. Contractors are given the opportunity to conduct their own review to look for this information.);

**EXEMPTION 5** - This exemption authorizes protection of internal records that are deliberative in nature and are part of the decision making process that contain opinions and recommendations. In practice, only three privileges are used with any regularity:

- Attorney work product
- o Attorney-client communications
- Deliberative process
  - The record in question must be predecisional; and
  - It must make recommendations or express opinions on legal or policy matters.
  - Agency must be able to show that exposure of the deliberative process would result in harm.

**EXEMPTION 6** – This exemption protects personally identifying information. We use this to redact employee names, phone numbers, e-mail addresses, etc.;

EXEMPTION 7 - Investigatory records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes;

**EXEMPTION 8** - Records for the use of any agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions;

**EXEMPTION 9** - Records containing geological and geophysical information (including maps) concerning wells.

V/R (b) (6)

NAWCAD Command Operations Group Admin & Records Management Division 47122 Liljencrantz Road Patuxent River, MD 20670 Phone: (301) (b) (6)

(FOR OFFICIAL LICE ONLY	DDIV/ACV CENICITIVE	A	 ماله	1.1	al al:	1	14.3	6	ما خ	 1		:	:	1
		~ ,						2		 -	0			-
penalties."														

From: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	CIV USN NAWCAD (US	SA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>		
Sent: Thursday, M	lay 28, 2020 11:59 AM			
то: <mark>(b) (6</mark> )	(USA) <mark>(b) (6</mark> )	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN PEOTACA	AIR PAX MD (USA)
(b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN (USA) (b) (	6 @navy.mil>	
Cc: (b) (6)	CIV USN PEOTACAIR P	AX MD (USA) <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	USN
COMNAVAIRSYSC	OM PAX (USA) <mark>(b) (6</mark> )	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN COMNAVAIRSYS	COM PAX (USA)
<b>(b) (6)</b> @na	avy.mil>; <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	CIV USN NAS PAX MD (US	SA)(b) (6) @navy.mil	>; <mark>(b) (6)</mark>
CIV USN (USA) (b)	(6) @navy.mil>;	<b>b) (6)</b>	IV USN NAVAIRWARCENACDIV	MD (USA)
(b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6	CIV USN (USA)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6	CTR USN

PEOTACAIR PAX MD (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6)

CTR (USA) <mark>(b) (6)</mark> @navy.mil> @navy.mil>;

(b) (6)

Subject: RE: DON-NAVY-2020-006828 and DON-NAVY-007330

Before we start reviewing for releaseability we need to know from the FOIA office what the intent is, I would assume since they were requested via a FOIA request they are actually wanting the documents. If they are classified then they can't be released, which then the FOIA office will ask if they can be sanitized for release. If that is the case how long would that take us? If any of it is FOUO please provide why it is important and should not be released so that we can help the FOIA office with the proper FOIA exemption. Honestly between (0) (6), myself and (0) (6) we can work that.

Thank you,
(b) (6)
PMA-265 (b) (6)
301-757 <mark>(b) (6)</mark>

From: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	CTR (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>
Sent: Thursday,	/ay 28, 2020 11:29 AM
то: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	CIV USN PEOTACAIR PAX MD (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN
(USA) <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>
Cc:(b)(6)	CIV USN PEOTACAIR PAX MD (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN NAWCAD
(USA) <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>; (b) (6) USN COMNAVAIRSYSCOM PAX (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>;
(b) (6)	CIV USN COMNAVAIRSYSCOM PAX (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN NAS PAX
MD (USA) <mark>(b) (6</mark>	@navy.mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) ,
	N NAVAIRWARCENACDIV MD (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6) USN (USA)
	navy.mil>; (b) (6) CTR USN PEOTACAIR PAX MD (USA) (b) (6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6)
CTR (USA) <mark>(b) (6</mark>	@navy.mil>; (b) (6) CIV USN NAS PAX MD (USA)
(b) (6)	@navy.mil>

Subject: RE: DON-NAVY-2020-006828 and DON-NAVY-007330

(b) (6) looping in our new (b) (6)(b) (6) and new Security personnel, (b) (6) for recommendation on process/where to go from here.

V/R, (b) (6)

From: (b) (6) CIV USN (US	6A) < <mark>(b) (6)</mark> @navy.mil>		
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020 3::	13 PM		
To:(b)(6) CIV U	ISN NAVAIRWARCENACDIV MD	(USA) (b) (6) @	navy.mil>; <mark>(b) (6)</mark>
(b) (6) CTR (USA) <(b) (6)		USN NAWCAD (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>
Cc: (b) (6) CIV USN PEOT	ACAIR PAX MD (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	USN
COMNAVAIRSYSCOM PAX (USA)	(6) @navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN COMNAVAIRS	YSCOM PAX (USA)
<r(b) (6)="" @navy.mil="">; (b) (6)</r(b)>	CIV USN NAS PAX MD	(USA) < <mark>(b) (6)</mark> @navy.r	nil>
Subject: RE: DON-NAVY-2020-0068	28 and DON-NAVY-007330		

#### (b) (6)

I've reviewed my records as requested, and have located the following electronic records:

- 1. NIPR:
  - a. Email, From: (b) (6) To: (b) (6) , Date: 23 Mar 2018 11:41 AM, Subj: SIPR Email, 6 pages.
- 2. SIPR:
  - a. Email, From: (b) (6) To (b) (6) , Date: 26 Mar 2018 9:07 AM, Subj: FW 2 Navy Cockpit Videos for Review (2 videos attached). Email is Unclassified without videos attached.
  - b. Email, From: (b) (6) , To: (b) (6) , Date: 26 Mar 2018, Subj: FW 2 Navy Cockpit Videos for Review, with powerpoint file attachment. Email is classified SECRET.

c. Powerpoint slide attachment from b) above, classified Secret. Includes stills from videos, comments as to sensitivity/classification, and some motion analysis.

I can provide a pdf file of the NIPR email via NIPR email, and pdfs of the SIPR emails and slides via SIPR. Am I providing any releasability assessment at this time, or just the raw documents?

#### (b) (6)

What PMA-265 review process is required for providing these documents to (b) (6) at the Command Operations Group?



NAVAIR PMA-265 EO/IR Engineering Desk: 301-757-<sup>(b)</sup> <sup>(6)</sup> Mobile: <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>(6)</sup> Email: <sup>(b)</sup> <sup>(6)</sup> <u>@navy.mil</u> (b) <sup>(6)</sup> <u>@navy.smil.mil</u>

From: (b) (6)	CIV USN NA	AVAIRWARCENACDIV MD (USA	A) <mark>(b) (6)</mark> @r	avy.mil>
Sent: Tuesday	/, May 12, 2020 8:12 AM			
To: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	CIV USN (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>;
(b) (6)	CIV USN NAS PAX MD (USA	A)(b) (6) @navy.mil	>	
Cc: (b) (6)	CTR (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>;(b) (6)	CIV USN NAWCAD (USA)	
(b) (6) @	0navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN NAVAIRWARC	ENACDIV MD (USA)	
(b) (6)	@navy.mil>			

Subject: RE: DON-NAVY-2020-006828 and DON-NAVY-007330

Mr. (b) (6),

In regards to 2020-006828 FOIA Request, we will need all communications, emails (NIPR and SIPR), letters, memos, etc. that you may have on the analysis of the three videos during the AFOSI investigation in 2017/2018 timeframe.

In regards to 2020-007330 FOIA Request, we will need all records (reports, correspondence, queries, documents, letters, messages) regarding the history and custody of the videos described as the two F-18 Hornets tracking an Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) in the AFOSI File number 3290517356125.

If no records are located, please describe the search method you used, include systems and filing cabinets search, along with the keys words used during the search.

If records are found, please provide in pdf and list the FOIA Case number in which the records are responsive.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thank you!

V/R

(b) (6)

NAWCAD Command Operations Group Admin & Records Management Division

#### penalties."

From: (b) (6) C	IV USN (USA) <mark>(b) ((</mark>	@navy.mil>		
Sent: Monday, May 11, 2	020 9:20 PM			
то: <mark>(b) (6</mark> )	CIV USN NAVA	AIRWARCENACDIV MD (USA	(b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6) ,
(b) (6) CIV USN (USA) (b) (	6 @navy.mi	I>;(b) (6) CIV US	N NAS PAX MD (USA)	
(b) (6) @navy.	mil>			
<b>Cc:</b> (b)(6) (	USA) <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN NAWCA	D (USA)
(b) (6) @navy.mil>				

Subject: RE: DON-NAVY-2020-006828 and DON-NAVY-007330

#### (b) (6)

Are you requesting all of my emails, both NIPR and SIPR, regarding the FOIA requests for the F/A-18 ATFLIR UAP videos? I don't know exactly what AFOSI Case #3290517356125 refers to. The only records that I would have are in reference to DON-NAVY-2020-006828, which appears to address sensitivity of the videos. Regarding DON-NAVY-007330, I don't believe any of my emails provide any details on history and custody of the videos.

If you are requesting emails, what form would you like them provided to you? PDFs of the emails? Do I just provide of all my emails on the UAP videos, or do I need to reference the cases for each email.

# V/R,

#### (b) (6)

NAVAIR PMA-265 EO/IR Engineering Desk: 301-(b) (6) Mobile: (b) (6) Email: (b) (6) @navy.mil (b) (6) @navy.smil.mil

From: (b) (6)	CIV USN NA	VAIRWARCENACDIV MD (US	SA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>
Sent: Friday, M	lay 8, 2020 2:07 PM			
то: <mark>(b) (6)</mark>	CIV USN (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>;(b) (6)	CIV USN (USA) <	0) (6) @navy.mil>;
(b) (6)	CIV USN (USA) (b) (6)	@navy.mil>; (b) (6)	CIV USN NAS PAX M	D (USA)
(b) (6)	@navy.mil>			
Cc: (b) (6)	CIV USN NAVA	IRWARCENACDIV MD (USA)	(b) (6)	@navy.mil>; <mark>(b) (6)</mark>
<b>(b) (6)</b> (U	JSA) (b) (6) @navy.	mil>		
Subject: DON-I	NAVY-2020-006828 and DON-1	NAVY-007330		

Good Afternoon,

We have received two FOIA requests in reference to the UAP Videos that were investigated by AFOSI in 2017/2018. (b) (6) informed me that the majority of the responsive documents for these FOIA requests came in through SIPRNET. I understand that due to our current telework situation, you will not be able to conduct a SIPR search at this time, but I did want to give you a heads up that we will need you to conduct a search once you return to the office.

If there are any other personnel and/or agencies that might have responsive documents for these FOIA requests, please let me know. Also, if you have any questions/concerns, please let me know.

DON-NAVY-2020-006828 – All communications, e-mails, letters, memos, internal communications, notes etc. regarding AFOSI investigation case #3290517356125. Although this is an AFOSI investigation, in it, it states that the Division Head, Naval Air Warfare Center - Aircraft Division, Naval Air Station Patuxent River, MD was contacted. There was analysis on three videos which they determined there was "no sensitive symbology or other items of concern."

DON-NAVY-2020-007330 - All information and records including (but not limited to) reports, correspondence, queries, documents, letters, messages regarding the history and custody of the videos described as video of two F-18 Hornets tracking an unidentified Flying Object (UFO) » in the AFOSI File number 3290517356125 (see attached file for reference). The release of this video was investigated by the AFOSI in 2018 and the report mentions the Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division as being in possession of those videos.

Thank you!

### V/R

#### (b) (6)

NAWCAD Command Operations Group Admin & Records Management Division 47122 Liljencrantz Road Patuxent River, MD 20670 Phone: (301) (b) (6)

- FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY - PRIVACY SENSITIVE - Any misuse or authonized disclosure can result in both civil and criminal - penalties."